

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JASON TIMOTHY WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-cv-01253-HBK¹

ORDER GRANTING AWARD AND
PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS FEES UNDER
THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

(Doc. No. 14)

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated motion for award of attorney's fees filed on March 9, 2023. (Doc. No. 14). The parties agree to an award of attorney's fees and expenses to Plaintiff's attorney, Francesco P. Benavides of the Law Offices of Francesco Benavides, in the amount of \$5,800.00 in attorney fees and expenses, pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (*Id.*).

On February 14, 2023, this Court granted the parties' stipulated motion for a remand and remanded the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. (Doc. No. 12). Judgment was entered the same day. (Doc.

¹ Both parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(c)(1). (Doc. No. 10).

1 No. 13). Plaintiff now requests an award of fees as the prevailing party. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a)
2 & (d)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1920; *cf. Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S.
3 292, 300-02 (1993) (concluding that a party who wins a sentence-four remand order under 42
4 U.S.C. § 405(g) is a prevailing party). The Commissioner does not oppose the requested relief.

5 The EAJA provides for an award of attorney fees to private litigants who both prevail in
6 civil actions (other than tort) against the United States and timely file a petition for fees. 28
7 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Under the Act, a court shall award attorney fees to the prevailing party
8 unless it finds the government's position was "substantially justified or that special circumstances
9 make such an award unjust." *Id.* Here, the government did not show its position was
10 substantially justified and the Court finds there are not special circumstances that would make an
11 award unjust.

12 Based on the stipulation, the Court finds an award of \$5,800.00 in attorney fees and
13 expenses is appropriate. EAJA fees, expenses, and costs are subject to any offsets allowed under
14 the Treasury Offset Program ("TOP"), as discussed in *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 532 U.S. 1192 (2010). If
15 the Commissioner determines upon effectuation of this Order that Plaintiff's EAJA fees are not
16 subject to any offset allowed under the TOP, the fees shall be delivered or otherwise transmitted
17 to Plaintiff's counsel.

18 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

19 1. The stipulated motion for attorney fees and expenses (Doc. No. 14) is **GRANTED**.
20 2. The Commissioner is directed to pay to Plaintiff as the prevailing party EAJA fees in
21 the amount of \$5,800.00 in attorney fees and expenses. Unless the Department of Treasury
22 determines that Plaintiff owes a federal debt, the government shall make payment of the EAJA
23 fees to Plaintiff's counsel, Francesco P. Benavides of the Law Offices of Francesco Benavides, in
24 accordance with Plaintiff's assignment of fees and subject to the terms of the stipulated motion.

25 Dated: March 10, 2023


26 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28